

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,747	02/14/2002	Frederick Growcock	11836.0703.NPUS00 (MIDR 7	9474
27551	7590 10/02/2003	•	EXAMINER	
	H. CAGLE SIMON ARNOLD & W	WARE, DEBORAH K		
750 BERING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 77057	1651	j	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	3 (5)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	— ——	Application No.	Applicant	(e)				
Office Action Summary		10/075,747		CK ET AL.				
Office Action Sum	ilai y	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this	annunication appo	Deborah K. Ware	1651	ance address -				
Period for Reply	сопппипісацоп арре	ars on the cover sh	eet with the corresponde	ince address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 of this communication. The thirty (30) days, a reply waximum statutory period will riod for reply will, by statute, core months after the mailing of	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur I apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be conside (6) MONTHS from the mailing date come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).				
1) Responsive to communica	ation(s) filed on 7/18/	พร						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	_	oo . action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in	,			as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	red.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-59</u> is/are reject	ed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) Objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request th	• •	- , ,	•					
11) The proposed drawing corre				Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawing		_						
12) The oath or declaration is ob		miner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and								
13) Acknowledgment is made of	· ·	priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)								
·								
-			d in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certifier application from the strain of th	the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	ational Stage				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a prov	visional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the fo		• •		1.				
Attachment(s)		. ,	55					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) P tice of Informal Patent Applica ner:	-				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,747

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-59 are pending.

The Preliminary Amendment filed July 18, 2003, has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDSs) filed in the case have been received on May 23, 2002, July 3, 2002 and October 22, 2002. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner (copies are enclosed).

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group V in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue search burden placed upon the examiner. This is not found persuasive because in the instant case because the classification of Group V is very different than for any of the other Groups, especially since it is a feed composition. Thus, for those reasons of record and because of the different classification of the claimed inventions the restriction is deemed proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,747 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

Hence, claims 52-59 are considered on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 52-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 52-59 are rendered vague and indefinite for what exactly "the oil contaminated solids" include per se. For example, it is uncertain whether the composition or the solids include an emulsifying agent, a biodegradable anion, etc.

Clarification and/or suitable amendment to distinctly claim the composition is suggested.

Claim 56 is rendered vague and indefinite for the recitation of the first occurrence of "compositions" at line 1 and for "the compositions have" at lines 1-2. The terms appear to lack antecedent basis. It is suggested to change "compositions" to – composition—and change the phrase to –the composition has--.

Claim 59 is rendered vague and indefinite for the recitation of "vermicast" in that it is unclear what this term is intended to mean in the claims. Is it a vermiculture or what? The metes and bounds of the claim can not be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,747

Art Unit: 1651

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 52- 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,e) as being anticipated by Sicotte et al. (US Patent No. 6,187,581 B1) cited on enclosed PTO-1449 Form.

Claims are drawn to a vermitculture comprising oil contaminated solids, a bulking agent and compostable nitrogen source.

Sicotte et al teach the same, note abstract and col. 2, lines 55-65, col. 3, all lines, col. 4, all lines, col. 12, all lines, col. 13, all lines.

Claims are considered to be identical to the cited disclosure and are therefore, considered to be anticipated by the teachings of the cited reference.

All claims fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art discussed above and cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected.

The remaining references listed on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449 are cited to further show the state of the art.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,747

Art Unit: 1651

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 308-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

Deborah K. Ware September 23, 2003